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#### Abstract

The recent decades have witnessed a radical change in the diseases, types and their outbreak in community, from infecting diseases to chronic ones. Disease awareness is utmost important aspect in the community for prevention and control of diseases. Awareness of Disease and symptoms is essential for screening and early detection. If members of the public are aware of a disease and its symptoms, they are more likely to take action to prevent it happening to them, or go to healthcare providers for check-ups. Hence, taking this into consideration, the present survey aims to acquire facts about most common diseases viz. AIDS, Dengue fever, Measles, Rubella and Sickle cell anaemia among the 100 Microbiology students of undergraduate and post graduate section. The findings suggests that the awareness about queried diseases is high in post graduate students of Microbiology department as compared to under graduate students which indicates that Microbiology education helps in improving the health awareness in students. Health education campaign regarding the common infectious diseases should be scheduled in schools, colleges and other sectors of the society.
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A disease is an abnormal condition that negatively affects the structure or function of part or all of an organism, and that is not due to any external injury. Infectious Diseases are disorders that are caused by microorganisms viz. bacteria, viruses, fungi, or parasites that are passed, directly or indirectly, from one person to another. Some Diseases are caused by the genetic disorders.

The recent decades have witnessed a radical change in the diseases, types and their outbreak in community, from infecting diseases to chronic ones. Disease awareness is utmost important aspect in the community for prevention and control of diseases ${ }^{12}$. Preventive medicine is concerned with reducing the incidence of disease by modifying environmental or behavioral factors that are related to illness. It is necessary that the general health practitioners and family physicians work in close collaboration with the community. It is mandatory to mobilize the community for resolving their health issues and to assess their knowledge about infectious diseases. In order to adopt a healthier lifestyle, increasing the awareness of the community is an important preventive strategy ${ }^{3}$.

The lack of awareness among the people is one of the key aspects responsible for transformation of endemic diseases into pandemic. Lack of awareness is due to the absence, inaccessibility or inaccuracy of information, which is sometimes made harder by cultural taboos, myths and fear, which can stop people from taking preventative action or seeing doctors ${ }^{4}$. As a result of lack of awareness, people often come to healthcare facilities when their disease has worsened or reaches a late stage, resulting in lower chance of effective treatment. Lack of awareness is not only dangerous in term of worsening health outcomes; it can also be divisive in society and can affect quality of life ${ }^{5}$.

Awareness of Disease and symptoms is essential for screening and early detection. If members of the public are aware of a disease and its symptoms, they are more likely to take action to prevent it happening to them, or go to healthcare providers for check-ups ${ }^{6}$. If people are not aware of diseases and healthcare options it keeps them from taking preventative action or from visiting their doctor and accessing care. Taking this into consideration, the present survey aims to acquire facts about diseases awareness among the college students.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

## Survey Instrumentation

The instrument used for this study was a structured questionnaire containing multiple choice questions. The questionnaire consisted of 10 questions each on AIDS, Dengue ${ }^{7}$, Sickle

[^0]Cell Anaemia, measles and rubella ${ }^{8910}$. The questions were based on the general information regarding the particular disease which involves socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge of the various diseases, their features, transmission and complications, methods of prevention ${ }^{11}$.

## Participants

Participants in this study were undergraduate and post graduate college students attending a Microbiology education in R.A. College, Washim. Total 100 students participated in this survey. 20 students ( 10 males and 10 females) from each class viz. B.Sc (I,II,III) and M.Sc (I,II) were selected for the present survey. The participants belong to the age group of 18 to 25 years. The Participants were not placed in physical, emotional, or academic harm at any time during the course of the study.

## Procedure

The Diseases questionnaire was administered to all the participants in September $2023{ }^{12}$. Before the questionnaires were distributed, a consent form was read and distributed to all students for their review. After the consent form was read and distributed, students had approximately 30 minutes to complete their questionnaire in class-room under keen supervision. To maintain the confidentiality of all participants, names and signatures were not retrieved on the questionnaires. ${ }^{13}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The questionnaire was processed and following results were obtained.
Table 1 and figure 1 represents the response of participants about AIDS. From the table, it is observed that maximum correct response was given by M. Sc-II year students followed by M. Sc-I. The mean of the correct response was calculated to be 9.2 for both male and female of M. Sc-II year. In case of M. Sc-I, female students has given more correct response (mean value- 8 ) regarding AIDS as compared to males (mean value- 6.6). Among B.Sc-III students, males have given more correct response (mean value- 6.2) as compared to females (mean value- 4.8).The calculated mean value of correct response among B.Sc-II was 4.8 and 4 respectively for males and females. In case of B. Sc-I students, the mean value for correct response was calculated to be 2.2 and 2.8 respectively for males and females.

[^1]Figure 2 represents the findings on frequency of correct response regarding the specified disease. Out of the five different criteria considered viz. Basic information regarding AIDS, transmission, symptoms, treatment and prevention for survey, maximum students has given correct response regarding the basic information(65) of AIDS followed by symptoms(61), treatment(57), transmission(56) and prevention(50).

Table 2 and figure 3 represents the response of participants about Dengue fever. From the table, it is observed that maximum correct response was given by M. Sc-II year students followed byM. Sc-I. The mean of the correct response was calculated to be 9.4 and 9 for male and female respectively. In case of M. Sc-I, male students has given more correct response (mean value- 8.8) regarding Dengue fever as compared to females (mean value- 8.6). Among B.Sc-III students, females have given more correct response (mean value-5.8) as compared to males (mean value- 5.2). The calculated mean value of correct response among B.Sc-II was 5.8 and 4.2 respectively for males and females. In case of B. Sc-I students, the mean value for correct response was calculated to be 4 and 3.6 respectively for males and females. The above result were compared with Kalra, et.al., ( (2014) \& Lennon JL ${ }^{1415}$.

Figure 4 represents the findings on frequency of correct response regarding the specified disease. Out of the five different criteria considered viz. Basic information regarding Dengue fever, transmission, symptoms, treatment and prevention for survey, maximum students has given correct response regarding the symptoms(90) of Dengue fever followed by basic information (66), transmission(62), prevention(53) and treatment(51).

Table 3 and figure 5 represents the response of participants about Measles disease. From the table, it is observed that maximum correct response was given by M. Sc-II year students followed byM. Sc-I. The mean of the correct response was calculated to be more in females (9.6) as compared to males (9.2). In case of M. Sc-I, male students has given more correct response (mean value- 8.6) regarding Measles disease as compared to females (mean value- 8.2). Among B.Sc-III students, males have given more correct response (mean value4.8) as compared to females (mean value- 4.4).The calculated mean value of correct response among B.Sc-II was 3.8 and 1.4 respectively for males and females. In case of B. Sc-I students, the mean value for correct response was calculated to be 1.4 and 2 respectively for males and females. The following result were compared with Odega, et.al.,(2010) ${ }^{16}$.

Figure 6 represents the findings on frequency of correct response regarding the specified disease. Out of the five different criteria considered viz. Basic information regarding Measles disease, transmission, symptoms, treatment and prevention for survey, maximum students has given correct response regarding the basic information (61) of Measles disease followed by treatment(56),symptoms(54), transmission(50) and prevention(46). The following result were compared with Weldegebriel, et.al.,(2011) ${ }^{17}$.

Table 4 and figure 7 represents the response of participants about Rubella disease. From the table, it is observed that maximum correct response was given by M. Sc-II year students followed byM. Sc-I. The mean of the correct response was calculated to be more in females

[^2](9.4) as compared to males (9.2). In case of M. Sc-I, female students has given more correct response (mean value-6.8) regarding Rubella disease as compared to males (mean value- 6.6). Among B.Sc-III students, females have given more correct response (mean value- 3.8) as compared to males (mean value- 3).The calculated mean value of correct response among B.ScII was 4.4 and 3 respectively for males and females. In case of B. Sc-I students, the mean value for correct response was calculated to be 2.6 and 1.8 respectively for males and females. The following result were compared with Dewan, P., \& Gupta, P. (2012) ${ }^{18}$.

Figure 8 represents the findings on frequency of correct response regarding the specified disease. Out of the five different criteria considered viz. Basic information regarding Rubella disease, transmission, symptoms, treatment and prevention for survey, maximum students has given correct response (53)regarding the transmission and symptoms of Rubella disease. The results were at par with each other. The correct response regarding treatment was found to be 51 followed by prevention(49) and basic information (47).

Table 5 and figure 9 represents the response of participants about Sickle cell anemia. From the table, it is observed that maximum correct response was given by M. Sc-II year students followed byM. Sc-I. The mean of the correct response was calculated to be more in females (6.6) as compared to males (5.6). In case of M. Sc-I, male students has given more correct response (mean value- 6.2) regarding Sickle cell anemia as compared to females (mean value- 5). Among B.Sc-III students, both males and females have given correct response at par (mean value- 3). The calculated mean value of correct response among B.Sc-II was 2 and 3 respectively for males and females. In case of B. Sc-I students, the mean value for correct response was calculated to be 1.4 and 1.2 respectively for males and females. The following result were compared with Lee et.al.,(1995), Kate, S. L., \& Lingojwar, D. P. (2002) and Adewuyi, J. O. (2000) ${ }^{192021 .}$

Figure 10 represents the findings on frequency of correct response regarding the specified disease. Out of the five different criteria considered viz. Basic information regarding Sickle cell anemia, transmission, symptoms, treatment and prevention for survey, maximum students has given correct response regarding the basic information(49) of Sickle cell anemia followed by treatment(40), transmission(37),symptoms(32) and prevention(27). The following result were compared with Olakunle et.al.,(2013), Odunvbun, et.al.,(2008), Ameade, et.al.,(2015) ${ }^{222324}$.

Table 6 and figure 11 represents the Frequency of correct response about diseases in males and females participants. From the table it is observed that maximum correct response was given by male participants as compared to females. Male participants have given total 670

[^3]correct response and females has given 646 correct responses about the queried diseases. Among the queried diseases, maximum correct response was found about Dengue fever (322) followed by AIDS (289), Measles (267), Rubella (253) and Sickle cell anaemia (185).

Table 7 and figure 12 represents the frequency of correct response about disease criteria of queried diseases. It is observed that maximum participants are aware about the symptoms of the queried diseases (290) followed by the basic information (288), disease transmission (258), treatment of the diseases (255) and prevention (225) of the queried diseases.

## CONCLUSION

Maximum participants in the present survey were aware about the symptoms of the queried diseases (290) followed by the basic information (288), disease transmission (258), treatment of the diseases (255) and prevention (225) of the queried diseases. Among the queried diseases, maximum awareness was found about Dengue fever followed by AIDS, Measles, Rubella and Sickle cell anaemia. Maximum correct response was given by male participants as compared to females about queried diseases. Male participants have given total 670 correct response and females has given 646 correct responses about the queried diseases. The awareness about queried diseases is high in post graduate students of Microbiology department as compared to under graduate students which indicates that Microbiology education helps in improving the health awareness in students. Public health intervention program are suggested to be initiated including education of the community and also the health workers.
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TABLE AND FIGURES
Table 1: Response of participants about AIDS

|  | BSc I |  |  |  | BSc II |  |  |  | BSc III |  |  |  | MSc I |  |  |  | MSc II |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Femal e |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Femal <br> e |  | Male |  | Female |  |  |
|  | CR | IR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | CR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | CR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | CR | IR | CR | IR | CR | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | CR | IR | CR | I R |  |
| Basic infom ation | 05 | 05 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 06 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 07 | 03 | 06 | 04 | 07 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | 08 | 02 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Trans missi on | 02 | 08 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 05 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 02 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 06 | 04 | 05 | 05 | 08 | $02$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 09 | 01 | 09 | 0 |  |
| Symp toms | 03 | 07 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 06 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 05 | 05 | 06 | 04 | 03 | 07 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 10 | 00 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Treat ment | 01 | 09 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 06 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 07 | 03 | 03 | 07 | 08 | 02 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 10 | 00 | 08 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Preve ntion | 00 | 10 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 03 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ |  | 04 | 04 | 06 | 07 | 03 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 09 | 01 | 09 | 0 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { TOT } \\ \text { AL } \end{array}$ | 11 | 39 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 31 | 19 | 24 | 26 | 33 | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 46 | 4 | 46 | 4 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { MEA } \\ \mathbf{N} \end{array}$ | 2.2 | 7.8 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2 . \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5$ | $4.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 . \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 6 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 8 | 2 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 9.2 | 0 8 8 |  |

CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response
Figure 1: Response of participants about AIDS


CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response

Figure 2: Frequency of correct response about AIDS


Table 2: Response of participants about Dengue fever

|  | BSc I |  |  |  | BSc II |  |  |  | BSc III |  |  |  | MSc I |  |  |  | MSc II |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T } \\ & \text { ot } \\ & \text { al } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Femal <br> e |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | $\overline{\mathrm{Ma}}$ <br> le | Female |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | CR | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Basic informat ion | 02 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 03 | 07 | 06 | 04 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | 07 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 09 | 0 1 | 10 | 00 | 10 | 00 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 66 |
| Transmi ssion | 03 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 02 | 08 | 05 | 05 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 05 | 06 | 0 4 | 10 | 0 | 08 | 02 | 10 | 00 | 1 | 0 | 62 |
| Sympto $\mathrm{ms}$ | 08 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 07 | 03 | 09 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 09 | 09 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 10 | 0 | 10 | 00 | 10 | 00 | 1 | 1 | 90 |
| Treatme nt | 03 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 02 | 08 | 04 | 06 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 06 | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 08 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 08 | 02 | 08 | 02 | 0 7 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 51 |
| Preventi on | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 04 | 06 | 05 | 05 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 3 | 07 | 03 | 0 | 07 | 0 3 | 07 | 03 | 09 | 01 | 0 9 | 0 1 | 53 |
| TOTAL | 20 | 3 0 |  | 32 | 29 | 21 | 2 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 6 | 43 | 7 | 47 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| MEAN | 4 | 6 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 4.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 . \\ \hline 2 \end{array}$ | 6.2 | 5.8 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 8.8 | $\begin{gathered} 1 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 8 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 1.4 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 9 | 3 |  |

CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response
Figure3: Response of participants about Dengue fever


CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response

Figure4: Frequency of correct response about Dengue fever


Table 3: Response of participants about Measles disease

|  | BSc I |  |  |  | BSc II |  |  |  | BSc III |  |  |  | MSc I |  |  |  | MSc II |  |  |  | T <br> ot <br> al <br> of <br> C <br> R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |  |
|  | CR | IR | CR | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | CR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | CR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | CR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | CR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | CR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Basic informati on | 03 | $07$ | 02 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 05 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 06 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 07 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 03 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 02 | 09 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Transmis sion | 02 | 08 | 03 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 04 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 04 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 02 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 03 | 08 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 09 | 0 1 | 5 |
| Symptom <br> s | 01 | 09 | 04 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 05 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 05 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 02 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 01 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 03 | 09 | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
| Treatmen <br> t | 00 | 10 | 01 | 0 9 | 03 | 0 7 | 0 0 | 1 | 06 | 0 4 | 06 | 0 | 1 | 00 | 1 0 | 00 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 |


| Preventio <br> n | 01 | 09 | 00 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 02 | 0 8 | 0 0 | 1 0 | 03 | 0 7 | 03 | 0 7 | 0 9 | 01 | 0 9 | 00 1 | 10 | 0 0 | 09 | 0 1 | 4 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 7 | 43 | 10 | 4 0 | 19 | 3 1 | 7 | 4 3 | 24 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 4 3 | 7 | 4 1 | 9 | 46 | 4 | 48 | 2 |  |
| MEAN | 1.4 | 8.6 | 2 | 8 | 3.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 . \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 4.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 . \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 . \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 | 9.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{0 .} \\ & \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ | 9.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 .} \\ & \mathbf{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |

CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response
Figure5: Response of participants about Measles disease


CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response
Figure6: Frequency of correct response about Measles disease


Table 4: Response of participants about Rubella disease

|  | BSc I |  |  |  | BSc II |  |  |  | BSc III |  |  |  | MSc I |  |  |  | MSc II |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{T} \\ \text { ot } \\ \text { al } \\ \text { of } \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |  |
|  | CR | IR | CR | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | CR | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | CR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | IR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Basic informati on | 01 | 09 | 02 | 0 | 0 5 | 0 | 0 | 08 | 0 | 06 | 03 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 4 |


| Transmis <br> sion | 03 | 07 | 03 | 0 <br> 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 07 | 04 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Symptom <br> s | 04 | 06 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 05 | 01 | 09 | 0 | 3 | 04 | 0 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Treatmen <br> t | 02 | 08 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 09 | 06 | 04 | 0 | 0 | 08 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 9 | 4 | 6 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  | 2 | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Preventio <br> n | 03 | 07 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 09 | 0 | 08 | 05 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 09 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 8 | 3 | 7 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 7 | 3 |  | 1 | 8 |  | 9 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 13 | 37 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 35 | 19 | 31 | 3 | 7 | 34 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 3 |  |
| MEAN | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$. <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 .}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 .}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response
Figure 7: Response of participants about Rubella disease


CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response
Figure8: Frequency of correct response about Rubella disease


Table 5: Frequency of correct response about sickle cell anemia


CR- Correct response, IR- Incorrect response
Figure9: Response of participants about Sickle cell anemia


CR-Correct response, IR- Incorrect response

Figure10: Frequency of correct response about Sickle cell anemia


Table 6: Frequency of correct response about diseases in males and females participants

| Disease | Correct response |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females |  |
| AIDS | 145 | 144 | 289 |
| Dengue fever | 166 | 156 | 322 |
| Measles disease | 139 | 128 | 267 |
| Rubella disease | 129 | 124 | 253 |
| Sickle cell anemia | 91 | 94 | 185 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 6}$ |

Figure 11:- Frequency of correct response about diseases in males and females participants


Table 7: Frequency of correct response about disease criteria of queried diseases

| Disease criteria | AIDS | Dengue <br> fever | Measles <br> disease | Rubella <br> disease | Sickle <br> cell <br> anemia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |


|  | Number of correct response |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Basic <br> information | 65 | 66 | 61 | 47 | 49 | $\mathbf{2 8 8}$ |
| Transmission | 56 | 62 | 50 | 53 | 37 | $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ |
| Symptoms | 61 | 90 | 54 | 53 | 32 | $\mathbf{2 9 0}$ |
| Treatment | 57 | 51 | 56 | 51 | 40 | $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ |
| Prevention | 50 | 53 | 46 | 49 | 27 | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 6}$ |

Figure 12:-Frequency of correct response about disease criteria of queried diseases
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